

Bretherton Endowed CE Primary School - School Development Plan 2021 2022

Walking in the footsteps of Jesus with our Christian family, we learn, grow, achieve and flourish together in God's love.

Ouality of Education This aspect of our school development plan will be monitored by our curriculum and standards curriculum and evaluated through the use of school and governor monitoring. Key Issue 1: Ensure the teaching of English writing is sequenced with core skills and quality vocabulary so that all pupils progress well. To continue to foster and develop our children's love of writing. To find their author's voice and be able to develop stamina and accuracy and confidence to write to a variety of audiences, in a creative and technically accurate way. To raise attainment in writing for all children in particular for children with SEND Rationale for Key Issue 1 Allocated Budget: £1000 Impact of Covid and remote learning has hit writing outcomes in school more than maths and ٠ Linked Governor: Asha Rafferty reading as it was more difficult to teach and feedback Internal data shows that outcomes in writing in every class are significantly lower than maths and • reading Teachers anecdotal evidence states that children have low stamina for writing and some of their • creativity and ideas for writing are weaker than before covid Mixed age classes is not showing progress as in the past ٠ Our more able thrive on our writing offer, however middle to lower ability children don't show the same level of academic attainment in our teacher assessments despite some Covid catch up in 2020 2021 Through Covid-19 lockdown, take up of Maths and topic lessons was high, but feedback was that • writing wasn't as high a priority with parents at home The acceptance that parents may have found the teaching of writing difficult at home with the sheer volume of key learning features, such as handwriting, grammar, vocabulary choices, spelling, creativity and punctuation. . Period covered – Academic year 2021 2022 First review: Dec 2021 Second Review: March 2022 Third Review: July 2022 Milestone 1- December 2021 Milestone 2- March 2022 Milestone 3- July 2022 Objectives and Actions to address Key Governor/ SLT Time Scale Led by Cost/Resources Evidenced Success Criteria Evaluation, questions Issue and actions To increase whole school opportunities AM £500 Books What is the impact of Over the Moderated writing for each to write for purpose to support internal year – Planning the whole school class shows gap reducing moderation and sharing of expectations Staff meeting focus writes? between lw/ma/ha planned and exemplary models Gove report diary of SL report Are all staff able to events Pupil outcomes in books will

| To continue to foster and develop our children's love of writing . To find their author's voice and be able to confidently write to a variety of audiences, in a creative and technically accurate way. Review of marking and feedback policy – cascaded and involving staff | | | | Pupil discussions | moderate writing to show consistency? What does school consider to be an exemplary model? Is this a high standard? Is it achieveable for the majority of / all children | show a pride in their work and good level of progress Children's ability to talk about their learning increases and confidence of using vocabulary in their writing improves as a result Staff are using their time well to complete the cycle of Plan, Do, Review |
|--|--------|-----------|------------|--|---|---|
| To ensure consistency of judgement in writing. To demonstrate rigour with resumed moderation of judgements both internally and externally with other schools. | Termly | JC/Tardis | Staff time | Books Moderation minutes | Can school evidence moderation ? and impact on progress after? What is the quality or improvements in quality that is seen each term? | All teaching staff are able to confidently moderate writing in their own year group Whole school moderation looks at progression in writing from Year R-6 as a whole rather than as individual classes TARDis moderation opportunities resume with professional conversations to improve writing and next steps for children To attain aspirational targets – KS1 higher attainers to be targeted to work towards gaining GDS in writing by end of KS2. Gap between Reading and Writing attainment to be closed |
| School to adopt a consistent approach to the teaching of spellings (2 years) | Spring | JC | ТВС | Learning walks Data | What impact has consistent spelling teaching had on children? Why have you chosen that platform? | Data supports an improvement Children more confidently apply spelling rules in their writing |
| To undergo staff training using the Jane Consadine Write Stuff materials to improve practice through direct instruction and explicit practice of | Autumn | AM/JC | £1000 | Books Staff meeting minutes SL monitoring | Can you see the model in books? Is it having the | Staff are able to reflect on their planning and include the vocabulary and modelling scaffold to improve writing |

| gathering vocabulary and ideas and increased modelling to scaffold learning Regular assessments and regrouping of pupils to move them on Reaffirm staff understanding of how to sequence lessons to maximise writing outcomes changed from Jane considine to Literacy Tree for planned outcomes | | | | | impact on staff planning? Delivery? And outcomes as expected? | Pupils access more advanced vocabulary, through gathering and using shared vocabulary Pupils are able to structure their writing more confidently and this doesn't inhibit more able writers. |
|--|---|-------|---|--|--|--|
| To include teaching assistants in whole school training and specific vocabulary CPD to support teaching and learning Opportunities to talk to learn throughout the curriculum to support writing | Autumn and reviewed impact Spring | JC | nil | Meeting minutes Staff and children conversations | How do you know the training has impact? | All adults can effectively support all children to progress in writing Staff can describe and model the prcess of support |
| To attain aspirational targets –KS1 higher attainers to be targeted to work towards gaining GDS in writing by end of KS2. Gap between Reading and Writing attainment to be closed Pupil conferencing so pupils know what their own targets are High expectations at all times Review of marking and feedback to ensure more verbal and in the moment feedback completed so children can apply straight away. Same day intervention where possible. | Spring and summer | JC | nil | Pupil progress meetings Work scrutiny | Is there any change in assessment data? What is the data telling us? What can we see from the books? | Gap between reading and writing attainment is reduced. Through tracking more ks1 writers reach GDS at ks2 |
| To participate in a triad peer review programme this year with a specific question to evidence of how school's implementation supports children's ability to write for a number of purposes independently | Aut – all year | AM JC | Nil donated by teaching school Usually £3000 | Observation Book review Staff voice Pupil voice Planning scrutiny | What is evident from the initial visit? What are our next steps? How will we measre impact of our actions? What have we | • Action plan linked to evidence taken from triad review makes a positive impact on pupil outcomes in writing |

| | | | | | • | llicu |
|---|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Evaluation Term 1 and 2 Started with PHSE, history; scien Peer review completed and report Staff discussions regarding marki timely feedback based on EEF Launch of new scheme of work in to add clarity for staff Positive moderation for all classes | t received with ng and feedba n writing to su | n action plan ack to ensure pport the tead | that staff can give | effective and | Implications for next te Monitor effectiveness of books to ensure high sta | of new plans, carefully review writing in andards |
| To develop vocabulary through all aspects of the curriculum to ensure children are able to rehearse sentences and lead to writing in all curriculum subjects. Vocabulary for all subjects will be identified and shared with class teachers as an expectation of meeting each year group to support higher level of vocabulary understanding | Spring | JC SL | Nil cornerstones knowledge organisers and other resources | Books Pupil conversations | changed Can children talk about their learning using key vocabulary? Can children recall learning using key language to see if remember it? What words are listed for particular age groups in particular subjects? | Children are given a real purpose to writing Children are able to articulate the language of their learning in other subjects using key vocabulary. Children begin to use and spell correctly and comprehend better as a result of greater word knowledge |

| hnology in s | school to s | upport teachin | g and learning | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | 00 |
| - | Linked Governor: Lau | rence Glew | | | |
| ocus this year o | | | | | |
| | rt for the Goo | gle platform | | | |
| 2 | | | | | |
| | Second Rev | view: March 2022 | | Third Review: July 202 | 22 |
| | Milestone 2 | 2- March 2022 | | Milestone 3- July 2022 | , |
| Time Scale | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | Governor/ SLT Evaluation, questions and actions | Success Criteria |
| 2 years starting aut 2021 | Ben Whittaker AM/SA | £1500 each year including 4 sessions a year for SLT. 4 sessions for whole staff inset sessions and ongoing telephone and email support Includes | Minutes of staff meeting Computing lesson walkthrough Pupil questionnaires | and actionsHow are we building on staff skills and tailoring the training to their starting points?What is the impact of CPD on our curriculum and pupil outcomesHow many staff are pursuing the training?What aspects of technology is having greatest impact on teaching and learning? | Staff feel confident in teaching all aspects of the google computing curriculum and genral computing curriculum Staff are recognised for their skills in relation to Google external assessment opportunities and this is positive impact on our school reputation Staff lead their own development and start where they are for impact Staff encouraged to take risks in using technology to make teaching more efficient and purposeful to release time for planning and assessment Staff use technology to support the teaching of metacognition and the research is used to ensure learning ia the most effective. |
| | through lockdo note learning o ocus this year o workload our tech suppor 2 Time Scale 2 years starting aut | through lockdown means the note learning of the google bous this year complements workload bur tech support for the Google 2 Second Rev Milestone 2 Time Scale Led by 2 years Ben starting aut Whittaker | through lockdown means that school is well s note learning of the google platform but witho ocus this year complements the need to use tec workload our tech support for the Google platform 2 Second Review: March 2022 Milestone 2- March 2022 Time Scale Led by Cost/Resources 2 years starting aut 2021 Ben £1500 each whittaker 2021 AM/SA 4 sessions a year for SLT. 4 sessions for whole staff inset sessions and ongoing telephone and email support | through lockdown means that school is well stocked for devices note learning of the google platform but without any formal ocus this year complements the need to use technology to workload our tech support for the Google platform 2 Second Review: March 2022 Milestone 2- March 2022 Time Scale Led by Cost/Resources Evidenced 2 years starting aut 2021 Ben £1500 each year including 4 sessions a year for SLT. 4 sessions for whole staff inset sessions and ongoing telephone and email support | 2 Linked Governor: Lau note learning of the google platform but without any formal powerkload powerkload workload pur tech support for the Google platform 2 Third Review: July 202 Milestone 2- March 2022 Milestone 3- July 2022 Time Scale Led by Cost/Resources Evidenced 2 years Ben £1500 each Minutes of staff How are we building 2021 AM/SA 4 sessions a Computing lesson walthrough year for SLT. 4 sessions for walthrough Whit is the impact of CPD on our euriculum and ongoing telephone and How many staff are pursuing the Includes How many staff are How many staff are What aspects of technology is having greatest impact on technology is having |

| Evaluation Term 1 and 2 | | | | | Implications for next te | erm | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| • 2 sessions completed with staff | | | | | • How to use te | chnology to support metacognition | | | | | | |
| • SLT support through google educ | ator programn | ne | | | | | | | | | | |
| Increased confidence in curriculu | n coverage of | google apps | | | | | | | | | | |
| • Improved confidence seen and ap | plication of lea | rning eviden | t in classes | | | | | | | | | |
| • Staff signed up for google educate | | C | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluations term 3 | | Next term c/f or ident | ified | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | | | | | |

| Key Issue 3: To further complement application of skills, ensuring that summative | teachers subject k e assessment is a | knowledge to plan se ccurate and efficient | equence of work using CPD and end points are clearly |) which develops teacher defined through our Cor | rs understanding of metacognition, long merstones curriculum. | term memory and retention of knowledge and | |
|--|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Rationale for Key Issue 3 | 224 | Allocated Budget: £2000 | Allocated Budget: £2000 | | | | |
| After 1st year of Cornersto vitality through revived th Staff in school lead multip developed and offer oppor Staff lead subjects without Cornerstones allows subje ;earning and assessments. Staff are more confident in Success of first year of con is evident trhough pupil di | emes le subjects and tunities to bui degree specia ct leader and w adapting and rnerstones and | Linked Governor: Jeff Christin | e | | | | |
| Period covered – Academic year 20 | 021 2022 | - | | | | | |
| First review: Dec 2021 | | Second Review | | | Third Review: July 2022 | | |
| Milestone 1- December 2021 | - | Milestone 2- M | | | Milestone 3- July 2022 | | |
| Objectives and Actions to address Key Issue | Time Scale | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | Governor/SLT Evaluation, questions and actions | Success Criteria | |
| Enhanced CPD to upskill SL to inspire subjects for all teacher and ensure high standard of lessons in all subjects Look to subject specialists for delivery of CPD Subject leaders to be given a half day per subject leaderships | Sept | SL and AM | £400 CPD subject leader updates | Subject leader reports Action plan updates Subject leader meetings Minutes | What areas are on the list to include? How do you know? What is the quality of the CPD? What is the impact on learning? | Develop further staff's knowledge of subject expectations in all year groups Staff will feel more confident in trying some new things in aspects of the curriculum they feel less confident in Each staff member has the confidence and knowledge to support teaching and learning throughout school | |
| Shared understanding of how knowledge and skills are balanced within our curriculum to | Sept | All teaching staff | Within cost of resource | Work review Learning walks | How will you know you are succeeding? What do pupils remember? | • Develop staff's understanding of the science of learning | |

| ensure children are demonstrating 'sticky learning' and skills and developed and used within and across subjects Use of knowledge organisers Development of key subject vocabulary Children taking responsibility to reflect on their learning and how to apply skills and how learning links together Use quizzes and revisting of the curriculum to ensure learning has been embedded- teachers to use lesson study to trial changes in practice to establish success and share with others once established Support staff with more google training to incorporate quizzes and real time assessments Children with SEND need support provided forhome life ? | | | | Staff meeting discussions Gov minutes Pupil progress meetings Subject leader reports and action plans | What has provided the greatest impact? | Develop children's subject specific vocabulary Teachers have high expectations for all subjects and all children's progress within Learning strategies tried and tested to build practitioner skills |
|---|--------|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| Internal and if possible external moderation of all subjects in particular English Math and Science to ensure high expectations, standards and outcomes as a result of teaching Cornerstone curriculumStaff to be able to assess all subjects using cornerstones through adding topicsStaff to be able to create their own | Spring | All staff | Staff meeting time Inset time Moderation documents | Moderation documents Pupils progress meetings | What has worked well? Where are the strengths? | all pupils achieve well evidence that cornerstone has raised standards and behaviours for learning |

| topics and use as assessments | |
|--|--|
| Review science in relation to cornerstones to promote high standards | |
| Evaluation Term 1 and 2 Staff CPD of how to use cornerstones to assess and plan lessons and topics Links to Louise McCardle metacognition and cognative science CPD next year List of key vocabulary for each class and each subject Revised subject leader monitoring to ensure answer key questions and deep dive into subjects More subject reviews have identified key learning over the year groups in discrete subjects to ensure Cornerstones is designed for our pupils and with sequencial learning wth mixed age classes. | Implications for next term Look for links between learning in topics. Plot british values and Christian values |
| Evaluation term 3 | Implications for next year • |

| • Key Issue 4: To ember independent, learners | | | | | en leave Bretherton with al | l the tools to be self motivated, |
|--|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|---|
| Rationale for Key Issue 4 | | | | | Allocated Budget: £500 | |
| Post Covid, children have Some children are struggli To complement staff's dev Period covered – Academic year 20 | ng with self r velopment and | Linked Governor: curriculum committee | | | | |
| First review: Dec 2021 | 21 2022 | Second Revie | w: March 2022 | | Third Review: July 2022 | |
| Milestone 1- December 2021 | | Milestone 2- 1 | | | Milestone 3- July 2022 | |
| Objectives and Actions to address Key Issue | Time Scale | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | Governor/SLT Evaluation, questions and actions | Success Criteria |
| To support children's knowledge and understanding of learning behaviours | Ongoing | AM | Staff meeting time | Pupil voice Walk through | What is the impact of this? | Children are able to discuss how they learn Children are able to use the strategies to support their resilience and motivation |
| To develop staff and children's understanding of metacognition to support and impact on learning | Ongoing | AM | nil | Learning walks Pupil conversations | What has had the greatest impact on outcomes? | Children and staff are able to use their understanding of metacognition as positive impact on learning. |
| To use the EEF toolkit to encourage staff to use research to inform practice | Ongoing | AM | Staff meeting time | Book look Staff meeting minutes Appraisal targets | What have you employed and what is the impact? | Staff and pupils benefit from the research on offer The improvements impact on teaching and learning both now and in the future. |
| Evaluation Term 1 and 2 HT using EEF to underpin decisions for school – linked to feedback; developing ks1 and ks2 literacy, maximising impact of TA's, use of technology, metacognition training# This to be cascaded by HT to staff through staff meetings Use of walk thru to support staff PM targets | | | | | Implications for next term More planned approach for staff metings to review walk thru and trial improvements in practice as a result7reflect on PM meetings how teachers are progressing with their own areas. | |
| Evaluation term 3 | | | | | Implications for next year | |

| | | | | | alents in all aspects of school li children a diverse spectrum of | fe. From drama, public speaking, f opportunities to excel. |
|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Rationale for Key Issue 5 Strength in our curriculum Wanting external validification Experienced and strong art Talented pupils who enjoging | provision an ation of our e and design s sharing their | d enrichment op xemplary praction subject leader • success with ot | Allocated Budget: £1000 Linked Governor: Helen Fowler | | | |
| Strengthens a comprehense Period covered – Academic year 20 | | n with enrichme | ent above that on offe | r in other schools | | |
| First review: Dec 2021 Milestone 1- December 2021 | 21 2022 | Second Revie Milestone 2-1 | Third Review: July 2022 Milestone 3- July 2022 | | | |
| Objectives and Actions to address Key Issue | Time Scale | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | Governor/SLT Evaluation, questions and actions | Success Criteria |
| Subject leader to sign up and work towards the Arts Mark this year Complete CPD to support leadership in aspects identified (if any) | Autumn | LH | £500 | Collection of evidence through blogs, books, plans and visitors Pupil voice Parents voice | How are you progressing towards your goals? What CPD have you identified? | High quality externam=1 validifcation of our arts curriculum More opportunities for children to see their talents and interests reflected in school Subject leader moved further into expert |
| Design a suite of CPD for staff on practical art skills that will enhance their practice and impact positively on children's learning To access Art consultant for subject specific practical CPD (Cumbria) | Autumn | LH | £500 | Minutes and CPD plans Work in books and quality of teaching Evaluation of CPD | What is the impact on this staff development on outcomes for children? | Targeted CPD for staff who require or want it Higher expectation of staff and pupils in relation to outcomes Sharing of good practice |
| To promote further the use of Art Ambassadors as pupil voice groups for the quality and evaluation of art and design offer | Autumn | LH | nil | Pupil voice Minutes art ambassador meetings Learning walks | What do the Art Ambassador say are the strengths and areas for development? | • Pupils who are able to discuss their love of the arts and the experiences they have |
| Evaluation Term 1 and 2 Staff meeting to launch School art ambassador trai Start of sketch books | ning and allo | cation | | | Implications for next term • To plan upskilling C | PD |

| • | Art counsellors trip to Van Gogh | |
|---------|--|----------------------------|
| • | Art displays | |
| • | Increased dance opportunities – class 2 | |
| • | Choir and young voices | |
| • | SL shared focus and key learning so clear intent | |
| Evaluat | ion term 3 | Implications for next year |
| | | • |

| | | | Personal D | evelopmen | t | |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| This aspect of our school d | levelopmen | t plan will b | e monitored by o | ur curriculum : | and standards curri | culum and evaluated through the |
| | | us | e of school and g | overnor monito | oring. | |
| Key Issue 1: To re-establish | and exten | d the range o | f extra curricula | r opportunities | provided by the sch | ool and external providers |
| SIAMS Strand 1: Vision and | d Leadersh | ip | | | | - |
| SIAMS Strand 2: Knowledg | ge , Wisdon | n and Skills | | | | |
| SIAMS Strand 3: Character | | | piration and cou | rageous advoca | icv | |
| Rationale for Key Issue 1 | | | | | Allocated Budget: £120 | 00 PPG |
| • Post Covid, children have | missed nearly | 16 month of dis | sruption to extra curric | cular activities | | |
| • Disruption to connecting v | • | | 1 | | Linked Governor: Spo | ort premium – Anita Berry |
| | 21.2022 | | | | | |
| Period covered – Academic year 20 First review: Dec 2021 | 021 2022 | Second Review | Marsh 2022 | _ | Third Deview Isla 200 | 22 |
| Milestone 1- December 2021 | | Milestone 2- N | | | Third Review: July 202 Milestone 3- July 2022 | |
| Objectives and Actions to address | Time | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | Governor Evaluation, | Success Criteria |
| Key Issue | Scale | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | questions and actions | Success Cinena |
| Compile a plan of external providers and staff running clubs from Sep 2021 Continue to invite trusted partners to contribute a wide range of activities Consult with the school council on the range of activities to offer | September | AM/ School office | £300 reduction in income for external providers to generate more interest | Newsletter Timetables Website School council minutes | How many children are accessing the different groups? What different opportunities are we providing? Are there any safeguarding issues with the increase number in clubs? | High number of children accessing the after school clubs Children feel their talents are represented in clubs A wide variety of clubs on offer |
| Evaluation Term 1 and 2 • Reviewing external after s • Look for new after school • Staff led clubs resumed in • The hub offering additiona • Evaluation term 3 | clubs and staf Spring with h | f run clubs after igh take up | | es not needed | | form ks1 and 2 to see where gaps are k from parent forum on clubs on offer |

| Key Issue 2: To support all s | stakeholde | ers in keeping | children safe on | line through Cl | PD for staff and par | ents and high quality upto date | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| lessons in aspects of cyberbu | ullying, on | line safety and | d acceptable use. | _ | _ | | |
| SIAMS Strand 1: Vision and | d Leadersł | nip | | | | | |
| SIAMS Strand 2: Knowledg | ge , Wisdor | n and Skills | | | | | |
| SIAMS Strand 3: Character | | | piration and cou | rageous advoca | cv | | |
| Rationale for Key Issue 1 | F | Allocated Budget: £400 |) | | | | |
| • Post Covid, children Natio | nally have be | en exposed to gr | eater risks online | | Linked Governor: Lau | rear of Class | |
| • 1 to 1 devices in school no home | w mean that | children have mo | ore access to devices a | at school and at | Linked Governor: Lau | rence Glew | |
| • Some incidents out of scho | | | | | | | |
| • Staff questionnaire highlig | | | 1 1 | 0, | | | |
| • Launch of Jigsaw PHSE, v | ve reviewed c | content for online | safety and felt it cou | ld be enhanced | | | |
| Changes to KCSiE | | | | | | | |
| Period covered – Academic year 20 | 021 2022 | | | | | | |
| First review: Dec 2021 | | Second Review | | | Third Review: July 2022 | | |
| Milestone 1- December 2021 | - m: | Milestone 2- M | | | Milestone 3- July 2022 | | |
| Objectives and Actions to address | Time | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | Governor /SLT | Success Criteria | |
| Key Issue | Scale | | | | Evaluation, questions and actions | | |
| Subscribe to National Online | August | SA | £400 (reduced as | Incident | How many parents | • Children will know what to do in | |
| Safety platform to provide parents | 2021 | AM | champion school) | monitoring | are accessing the | event on online safety concerns | |
| with an app for a mobile device so | | | | Speaking to | app? | • Staff are confident in teaching | |
| they can access the resources at | | | | children | | upto date aspects of the online | |
| home to keep parents safe | | | | Parent | What training has been achieved? | safety curriculum | |
| NOS to provide upto date and | | | | questionnaires Learing walks | been achieved? | • Staff, pupil and parent | |
| convenient CPD for all staff in | | | | Workscrutiny | Impact on pupils | quesionnares return positive comments about the resource | |
| order to upskill their | | | | Pupil and staff | understanding? | and how we use it. | |
| understanding | | | | questionnaire | Impact on staff | and now we use it. | |
| | | | | 1 | confidence? | | |
| NOS to provide lesson plans in | | | | | | | |
| online safety aspects to | | | | | | | |
| complement and personalise our | | | | | | | |
| PHSE curriculum. | | | | | | | |
| To get National Accreditation for | | | | | | | |
| our work on online safety | | | | | | | |
| our work on on the safety | | | | | | | |

| Evaluation Term 1 and 2 | | | | | Implications for next te | rm |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| • SL completed online lead t | raining | | | | Monitor report | ting tool |
| HT completed safeguarding | g lead training | g on online safety | y | | | |
| • All staff to complete NOS | online safety | training | | | | |
| Parents encouraged to utili | se the app to a | support discussion | ons at home | | | |
| • Lessons trialed by class 3 a | and 4 – launch | of revised IT cu | rriculum to roll out a | after Christmas – | | |
| Spring 1 | | | | | | |
| Online safety assembly led | by class 3 an | d other classes a | nd parents workshop | planned and | | |
| delivered by SL | - | | | | | |
| Reporting tool for pupils w | vith increased | accountability for | | | | |
| monitoring by all class tead | chers. | | | | | |
| Evaluation term 3 | | | | | Implications for next ye | ear |
| | | | | | • | |

| Behaviour and Attitudes | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--|--|--|
| This aspect of our school d | evelopmen | - | • | our curriculum a governor monito | | culum and evaluated through the | | |
| Key Issue 1: To re-establish clear expectations in regard to behaviour and attitudes ensuring that all in school grow and succeed under | | | | | | | | |
| the principles of kindness ar | - | | 0 | | U | U | | |
| SIAMS Strand 1: Vision and | d Leadersh | ip | | | | | | |
| SIAMS Strand 2: Wisdom a | nd Knowl | edge | | | | | | |
| SIAMS Strand 4: Communi | | 0 | ether | | | | | |
| SIAMS Strand 5: Digity and | | | | | | | | |
| | P | | | | | | | |
| Rationale for Key Issue 1 | | | | | Allocated Budget: £nil | | | |
| • Children have had limited | access to who | le school after b | eing in bubbles | | Linked Coverner Ten | nmy Wilson/ Pam Aspden Safeguarding | | |
| • Children have had mixed e | | | | emotional learning | and wellbeing Governo | | | |
| | has been negatively impacted on some children's wellbeing | | | | and wendering Governe | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u> | | |
| • Childen have missed the co | ommunity wo | rships despite ha | | | | | | |
| values were reinforcedThrough bubbles, children | have had lim | itad access to ath | ar abildran younga | r and older | | | | |
| Initial reflection that some | | | • • | | | | | |
| each other and staff | cilitaren are i | for showing the s | | and respect to | | | | |
| • Wellbeing of all parties ha | s been affecte | d through lockdo | own and Covid in ge | neral | | | | |
| Parents have been supported | | | | | | | | |
| Social occasions such as lu | | | | experience positive | | | | |
| interactions and learn how | to cooperate | and respect each | other | | | | | |
| Period covered – Academic year 20 | 01 2022 | | | | | | | |
| First review: Dec 2021 | 21 2022 | Second Review | w March 2022 | | Third Review: July 202 | 22 | | |
| Milestone 1- December 2021 | | Milestone 2- N | | | Milestone 3- July 2022 | | | |
| Objectives and Actions to address | Time | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | Governor Evaluation, | Success Criteria | | |
| Key Issue | Scale | | | | questions and actions | | | |
| CPD for staff looking at key | Autumn | AM | £50 texts | Staff meeting | How will we measure | Children are able to clearly | | |
| educational texts - kindness | | | | minutes | the impact? | understand the behaviour policy | | |
| principle; restorative practice; when adults change – behaviour. | | | | CPOMS incidents | How will it fit into | and be able to self regulate | | |
| when adults change – benaviour. | | | | Staff appraisals | our Christian values? | Children can more easily repair damage to friendships after | | |
| Review of our Behaviour for | | | | Conversations | cur christian futuos: | conflict | | |
| Learning policy by all | | | | with pupils | | Staff feel confident to be able to | | |
| stakeholders | | | | Lunch time | | apply the policy | | |

| Visual reinforcement of class and school expectations Possible consultation of draft relational policy circulated to key stakeholders ELSA training to continue Policy finalised Summer 2022 | | | | behaviour Classroom monitoring by SLT Observations on behaviour Pastoral support list School website Govs HT report | | Children are able to speak clearly about how to behave and the strategies to repair relationships Parents feel confident in our policy |
|---|---|--|----------------------------|--|---|--|
| Re establish high quality Celebration and whole school worship to celebrate and teach our values, demonstrated throughout school life. | Autumn | AM | nil | Worship evaluation Parental feedback | Evidence taken from worship evaluations Governors invited | High quality worhip leads to increased children understanding of their faith Values are fully embedded in all aspects of school life |
| Through our mission statement, to support children to 'Grow' through focus on wellbeing and improved mental health across school. | Autumn | AM | nil | CPD list Appraisal meetings Pupil questionnaires Staff questionnaires | What does this look like for staff? | Wellbeing is evident through policies and communication Regular times to discuss impact and ensures stays high priority Staff feel supported to do their job |
| Evaluation Term 1 and 2 Worship resumed and link Class worships linked to u Started consultation with s golden time Worship looked at mission Reinforcement through dis Revisit of values in all wo | nderstanding staff re behav n statement a splays in scho | of our mission iour policy- s nd explicit les pol | Implications for next terr | n | | |
| Evaluation term 3 | | | | | Implications for next yea | r |

| Key Issue 2: To further enha | ance our te | aching in D | iversity through | LCC Equality a | nd Diversity Award | l |
|---|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------|---|--|---|
| SIAMS Strand 1: Vision and | | | | | | |
| SIAMS Strand 2: Knowledg | | | | | | |
| SIAMS Strand 3: Character | : developm | ent: Hope a | spiration and cou | irageous advoca | | |
| Rationale for Key Issue 2 | | | | | Allocated Budget: £40 | 0 |
| • Rural setting and lack of d | iversity within | n school and lin | nited within our comr | nunity | Linked Governor: Rev | v Michael |
| Period covered – Academic year 20 | 21 2022 | | | | | |
| First review: Dec 2021 | | | w: March 2022 | | Third Review: July 202 | |
| Milestone 1- December 2021 | | Milestone 2-1 | | | Milestone 3- July 2022 | |
| Objectives and Actions to address Key Issue | Time Scale | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | Governor /SLT Evaluation, questions and actions | Success Criteria |
| To review our curriculum in relation to aspects of Diversity and ensure there are more opportunities to show the diverse world we live in and support our children's understanding of what diversity and equality looks like to them as citizens of the world (5 awards over 3 years) Staff training around cultural diversity Representations of cultural diversity throughout the curriculum mapped in each class and themes Audit resources in school to ensure that there is a range available | 2021 2022 | SA | £300 | Collection of evidence from learning walk, Pupil and parent questionnaires Curriculum reviews Scrutiny of enrichments | What do the children say about their understanding of equality and diversity? What does this look llike in school? How can I see the work? | All stakeholders understand why diversity and equality are key to being a good citizen That our core values are evident in words and actions of children , staff and govenors Children are able to talk about what diversity is and have had opportunities to challenge their understanding and actions. All stakeholders will feel values and respected |
| Evaluation Term 1 and 2 Started to add extra texts in groups examples of all division | | ng or having di | | erm cultural diversity and inclusion training from ff as staff meeting in summer 2022 | | |

| • Weaving links to diversity and BV and Christian values through the curriculum identified and clearly mapped. |
|--|
| Implications for next year |
| |

Leadership and Management

This aspect of our school development plan will be monitored by our curriculum and standards curriculum and evaluated through the use of school and governor monitoring.

Key Issue 1: To improve the outcomes of all pupils with SEND or who are considered disadvantaged enabling them to flourish

SIAMS Strand 1: Vision and Leadership

SIAMS Strand 2: Knowledge, wisdom and skills

SIAMS Strand 3: character development: hope, aspiration and courageous advocacy

SIAMS Strand 5: Dignity and respect

Rationale for Key Issue 1

- Despite staff engagement with all pupils throughout lockdown and high quality learning, children with SEND struggled more than most with being at home.
- SEND pupil data is not in line with non send
- Strong SEND knowledge of SENDco and pastoral TA out of class 3 days/

Linked Governor: Gwyneth Lloyd

Allocated Budget: £850

| Period covered – Academic year 20 | Period covered – Academic year 2021 2022 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|----------------|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| First review: Dec 2021 | | Second Review: March 2022 | | | Third Review: July 2022 | | | | |
| Milestone 1- December 2021 | | Milestone 2- March 2022 | | | Milestone 3- July 2022 | | | | |
| Objectives and Actions to address Key Issue | Time Scale | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | Governor Evaluation, questions and actions | Success Criteria | | | |
| To ensure quality first teaching in all classes Interventions both in class and out of class considered and planned for SEND pupils to have IEP to support learning with targets that are measurable to show impact Sendco to support in planning COVID recovery and nationaltutoring along with the class teachers. Teaching and learning of SEND children will be targeted and | Aut | AM | £50 | Learning walks Conversation Pupil progress meetings HT report to Govs Governor mmonitoring report Staff meeting schedule on talking Peer review documents | Send vs non send? Barriers to learning How do we baseline for academic performance? | SEND data will show accelerated results for all ppg and send pupils Send governor can talk confidently about the impact Children are aware of what they need to do to achieve Pupil voice of all children is positive | | | |

| | 1 | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| enhanced as a result of the meetings | | | | | | |
| Support through specialist teachers such as dyslexia, behaviour consultants, speech and language where needed. Staff CPD linked specifically to how staff can overcome barriers and how to differentials without capping potential The use of the 'Inclusive Classroom' text to foster improvement in enabling all children access the curriculum with high expectations. | Aut | AM | £800 | Pupil voice Staff meeting minutes Send pupil data Send pupl progress data | Send Governor can see impact on data and wellbeing of send pupils Discussions with staff and learning walks evidence those differentiated classrooms and lessons | • All children are identified and are having their needs met |
| SEND children progress monitoring to include data at pupil progress meetings as usual with all class staff and sendco drop in to class Specific groups will be identified and planning reviewed to ensure progress is being made over time. | Aut | AM | nil | Data analysis Pupil progress meetings Pupil questionnaires | Does the data show improvement as a result of CPD? | All data to be reviewed and actions planned for immediate change if no progress for any child with PPG or SEND Send governor to support evaluation of success |
| PPG children to be monitored every half term and included in potential national tutoring scheme | Aut / Spring catch up | AM LH | £2000 | Pupil progress meetings Benchmarking and target setting | What does the data show? | PPG will show increased progress that can be measured Report to send governor and walk around will evidence progress |
| Evaluation Term 1 and 2 Monitoring through groups and data collection CPD ready – just need to be timetabled in Professional development from dyslexia specialist and associated resources Staff meeting using ' inclusive classroom ' to be developed further Interventions planned carefully as part of in class and national tutoring | | | | | school tutoringPupil interview | rm nterventions from national tutoring and in s |
| Evaluation term 3 | | | Implications for next ye | ar | | |

| | | | Christian D | Distinctivene | SS | | |
|---|-------------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| This aspect of our school d | levelopmen | | be monitored by o use of school and g | | | culum and evaluated through the | |
| Key Issue 1: To reunite our | school con | | | | | ren and staff and community | |
| SIAMS Strand 4: Commun SIAMS Strand 6: The impa | | | | | | | |
| Rationale for Key Issue 1 | | | | | Allocated Budget: £250 |) | |
| • Inability to gather together | | | rship | | Linked Governor: Rev | Michael | |
| Pupil voice teams unable t | to fulfil their r | oles | | | Linked Governor. Kev | Wichden | |
| Period covered – Academic year 20 | 021 2022 | | | | | | |
| First review: Dec 2021 | | | iew: March 2022 | | Third Review: July 202 | | |
| Milestone 1- December 2021 | | | - March 2022 | | Milestone 3- July 2022 | | |
| Objectives and Actions to address Key Issue | Time Scale | Led by | Cost/Resources | Evidenced | Governor Evaluation, questions and actions | Success Criteria | |
| Explicit lessons for children within class worships and whole school worship Clear whole year timetable for Christian festivals Use of monitoring through ethos group evaluation of worship Pupils resuming more leadership in worship Purchase of Fischy music for | By Christmas | AM | £250 | Evaluation book Pupils conversations Class displays Pupil and governor governor reports Worship book Collective worship planner | Can we see the impact on the quality of worship and guests? What do the children feel about worship? What is the impact of class worship? | High quality worship through guests, staff and pupils Pupils lead regular worship and this starts with year 6 and cascades down to younger children Timetable ensures all staff are aware of themes to come and better planned events take place Linking the mission statement means that pupils understand what it looks like in real life | |
| worship and ipad used for worship music Evaluation Term 1 and 2 Ethos led remembrance and harvest assemblies Plans for Christmas worship and carol service led by year 6 To promote greater involvement by Ethos group for evaluation of worship and planning | | | | | Implications for next te • Further childre | erm en/ethos involvement | |
| Regular evaluation of wor | | | | ra Pranning | | | |

| Work with St James ethos group on their conference day | |
|--|----------------------------|
| • | |
| Evaluation term 3 | Implications for next year |
| | • |